Coherent Optical Vortices From Relativistic Electron Beams

Erik Hemsing, Michael Dunning, Dao Xiang, Agostino Marinelli, and Carsten Hast SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park. California 94025. USA

Andrey Knyazik and James B. Rosenzweig Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

Abstract

Recent advances in the production and control of high-brightness electron beams (e-beams) have enabled a new class of intense light sources based on the free electron laser (FEL) that can examine matter at Ångstrom length and femtosecond time scales. The free, or unbound, electrons act as the lasing medium, which provides unique opportunities to exquisitely control the spatial and temporal structure of the emitted light through precision manipulation of the electron distribution. We present an experimental demonstration of light with orbital angular momentum (OAM) generated from a relativistic e-beam rearranged into an optical scale helix by a laser. With this technique, we show that a Gaussian laser mode can be effectively up-converted to an OAM mode in an FEL using only the e-beam as a mode-convertor. Results confirm theoretical predictions, and pave the way for the production of coherent OAM light with unprecedented brightness down to hard x-ray wavelengths for wide ranging applications in modern light sources.

Published in Nature Physics (2013) doi:10.1038/nphys2712.

Introduction

Light beams that carry orbital angular momentum (OAM) have become the subject of intense interest for numerous applications[1], including particle micro-manipulation[2], microscopy[3], imaging[4], optical pump schemes[5], quantum entanglement[6], and communications[7]. As first shown by Allen et al.,[8], these beams have a donut-shaped intensity profile and carry discrete values $l\hbar$ of OAM per photon as a result of the $e^{il\phi}$ dependence of the complex field, where ϕ is the azimuthal coordinate and l is an integer referred to as the topological charge.

The multitude of emerging applications enabled by OAM light at visible and longer wavelengths suggests new research opportunities in the EUV to hard x-ray regime where a well-defined OAM provides an additional degree of freedom that may be specifically exploited to probe the deep structure and behavior of matter. Promising applications include expanded x-ray magnetic circular dichroism[9] where angle-resolved energy loss spectrometry distinguishes spin-polarized atomic transitions subject to different photon OAM and polarization states [10]. Traditionally, these "optical vortices" are created by shaping of the phase front of a laser as it passes through different optical media[11–13], such as spiral phase plates [14] or computer generated holograms [15]. Analogous techniques have also been used to transform x-rays into vortices at synchrotron light sources [16, 17], and alternate methods suggest vortex beams can be created through Compton back-scattering[18] or harmonic emission in undulators[19]. Here, we report on a completely different technique in which a simple Gaussian laser pulse is used to generate fully coherent l = 1 OAM light purely through its interaction with a relativistic electron beam (e-beam). By using the e-beam as the lossless medium, this principle of *in situ* mode-conversion enables coherent OAM production in modern free-electron lasers (FELs), which can access a virtually unlimited range of wavelengths, produce femtosecond pulses, and generate x-rays with ten orders of magnitude higher-brightness than previous sources [20]. More generally, this technique illustrates the emerging concept of 'beam by design' in advanced accelerator-based light sources, where the electron beam can be precisely manipulated with lasers to radiate precision tailored light.

Light in an FEL is produced by a relativistic e-beam traversing a periodic magnetic

undulator (radiator). The emission wavelength $\lambda = 2\pi/k$ is given by

$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda_u}{2h\gamma^2} \left(1 + K^2 \right),\tag{1}$$

where λ_u is the undulator period, $\gamma = E/mc^2$ the relativistic factor of the electron with energy E and mass m, c is the speed of light, h the harmonic number, and K the normalized strength of the undulator. Intense coherent light is emitted from an e-beam that is *microbunched*, wherein the electrons are piled-up at the emission wavelength. In an FEL the electrons are initially distributed randomly, so microbunching occurs either as a result of the FEL instability (where the amplified light acts back on the beam to rearrange the electrons), or by way of an external laser acting on the beam upstream of the FEL. In either case, the emitted radiation distribution depends both on the microbunching structure and on the angular and spectral emission geometry of the FEL radiator. Thus, because the radiation at the dominant fundamental wavelength (h = 1) of an FEL is strongly peaked on axis, the unique phase structure of OAM light must originate from a *helically microbunched* beam in which the electrons are concentrated in a matching spiral-staircase-like distribution. This fine-tuned structure demands precision three-dimensional manipulation of the electron distribution upstream of the FEL, on the scale of a single wavelength.

It turns out this beam structure can arise naturally through a specific laser interaction. The technique was first proposed in Ref.[21] and was later examined at low beam energies and mid-IR wavelengths[22] but direct measurement of the unique transverse OAM structure was not available. An expanded scheme specifically applicable to modern FELs and relevant to this work was then proposed in Ref.[23]. The concept relies on a harmonic interaction between the e-beam and a seed laser in a circularly polarized undulator to naturally produce a helical energy modulation in the electron distribution. After dispersion through transport, the modulation is then converted into helical microbunching where the screw-like beam distribution emits and amplifies coherent OAM light in a downstream undulator.

Experiment and Method

In such seeded FEL configurations, an important issue for the production of OAM light is the mode content of the electron distribution. The correlated helical structure must exceed the intrinsic shot noise distribution so that the OAM light dominates, and it must survive transport to the radiator to preserve the mode purity. Here we experimentally examine both of these issues by direct measurement of the emitted OAM light. The setup is shown in Figure 1 which illustrates our experimental configuration at the SLAC Next Linear Collider Test Accelerator (NLCTA)[24, 25]. The initially unmodulated 120 MeV e-beam ($\gamma = 235$, 0.5 picosecond fwhm duration) interacts with the $\lambda = 800$ nm transversely Gaussian laser pulse in the helical undulator (modulator) with periodicity $\lambda_u = 5.26$ cm and strength K = 1.52. From equation (1), this excites an energy modulation at the wavelength λ in the e-beam at the second harmonic resonance. The energy modulation has a helical spatial structure as a consequence of the three-dimensional harmonic interaction geometry. Modulations of the form $e^{ikz-il\phi}$ in the beam are predicted at the harmonic h according to

$$l = \pm (h - 1) \tag{2}$$

where the upper (+) sign is taken for our right-circularly polarized modulator. The linearly polarized laser field profile $E_l(r) = E_0 e^{-r^2/w_0^2}$ excites a right-handed l = 1 helical modulation at the second harmonic given by,

$$\Delta\gamma(r,\phi,s) = \frac{qK^2 N_u \lambda_u^2}{8\pi\gamma^2 mc^2} \frac{\partial E_l(r)}{\partial r} \cos(ks - \phi)$$
(3)

where $N_u = 4$ is the number of modulator periods, s is the longitudinal position of an electron, and q the electron charge. The coupling is proportional to the radial variation of the Gaussian laser field, so electrons on-axis (r = 0) are unmodulated, while those at the radial position $r_{max} = w_0/\sqrt{2}$ and helical position $ks - \phi = n\pi$ receive the largest kick. In the experiment, the laser spot size was $w_0 = 290 \ \mu m$ and the e-beam $(N = 3 \times 10^8 \text{ electrons})$ was focused to an rms spot size $w_0/2=145 \ \mu m$ in order to maximize both the laser overlap and bunching factor according to predictions[23].

The subsequent dispersive magnetic chicane, characterized by the matrix transport element $R_{56} = \gamma \partial s / \partial \gamma = 1.9$ mm converts the energy modulation into a helical density modulation. The electrons follow an energy-dependent path through the chicane that modifies their relative longitudinal positions according to $s \to s + R_{56} \Delta \gamma / \gamma$. At the chicane exit, the bunching factor is then given by the sum $b_l = N^{-1} \sum_j^N e^{iks_j - il\phi_j}$. Bunching into the l = 1 mode is maximized when electrons with the largest energy modulation move longitudinally by $\sim \lambda/4$, so the condition $R_{56}\Delta\gamma(r_{max})/\gamma \simeq \lambda/4$ sets the optimal laser field and dispersion. The helically microbunched beam then radiates coherently in the 10 period planar undulator, which is tuned to emit light at 800 nm at the h = 1 fundamental wavelength ($\lambda_{w,r} = 3.3$ cm, $K_r=1.29$). As a result, l = 1 OAM light at 800 nm is produced without phase front manipulation by external optics from an 800 nm, l = 0 laser mode acting on the e-beam.

The linearly polarized laser (35 μ J, 1 picosecond fwhm) pulse was injected through a polarizer to control the laser field strength in the modulator. Only incoherent undulator radiation was visible with the polarizer set to full laser attenuation, but coherent OAM light 130× more intense was readily observed with the polarizer rotated by 2 degrees. This corresponds to a field strength of $E_0=15$ MV/m at the laser waist in the modulator, yielding an energy modulation amplitude of ~0.8 keV (about half the slice energy spread). Given these parameters, theory predicts coherent bunching in the l = 1 mode of ~ 3% – significantly larger than the $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$ intrinsic shot noise in modern FELs, and thus more than enough to seed dominant exponential OAM mode growth in these devices. Calculations indicate bunching up to $b_1 \sim 50\%$ is reached in our setup at 30 degrees rotation (9 μ J input).

Light emitted by the e-beam in the planar undulator was sent through a 800±5 nm bandpass filter and then through a beam splitter to two identical cameras that simultaneously record the intensity profile at two downstream locations (see Figure 1). Camera 1, with an effective focal length (EFL) lens of 7.0 cm, was set to image the extraction mirror in the beamline 21 cm away. Camera 2 (EFL 10.8 cm) recorded the far-field profile distribution with the focus set to infinity. The pair of intensity profile images (Figure 2A and 2C) was then processed with an iterative phase retrieval algorithm[26]. Similar to standard techniques, this routine exploits the defocus variation and Fourier-transformation properties of transport between image planes to reconstruct the phase from the known intensities[27]. For each iteration cycle, the sum squared error between the new amplitudes and the measured amplitudes is checked, and the algorithm continues until it converges or stagnates. Stagnation occurs between 200 and 500 iterations, depending on the initial guess for the phase (either flat everywhere or random noise). Shown in Figure 2B and 2D, the reconstructed phases show the presence of a dominant optical vortex with an l = 1 right-handedness, consistent with equation (2).

The slight ellipticity in the observed intensity patterns indicates the presence of other,

weaker optical modes in the radiation pattern, likely due to imperfect roundness of the e-beam and/or the excitation of adjacent modes in the modulator from experimental asymmetries[22]. In our experiment this structure actually improves the robustness of the reconstruction algorithm because optical dispersion of multiple modes during propagation results in an observable rotation in the intensity profile at different distances. A dominant l = -1 mode would lead to rotation in the opposite direction, so the algorithm converges reliably to the l = 1 mode even in the presence of initial random phase noise.

To ascertain the purity and relative power contained in the dominant OAM mode, the reconstructed complex fields were decomposed into an orthogonal cylindrical mode basis of Laguerre-Gaussian (LG) beams,

$$E_u(r,\phi) = C \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{p,l} e^{-r^2/a^2 - il\phi} \left(\frac{r\sqrt{2}}{a}\right)^{|l|} L_p^{|l|} \left(\frac{2r^2}{a^2}\right)$$
(4)

where p is the radial mode number, a is a spot size parameter and C is a normalization constant such that $\sum_{p,l} |a_{p,l}|^2 = 1$. LG modes are a convenient working basis since they are eigenmodes of free space paraxial waves that carry OAM[8]. The expansion was carried out on the fields propagated back to the undulator where they also provide a straightforward map of the coherent helical microbunching structure. Figure 3 shows that 85% of the relative radiation power is carried by the primary l = 1 OAM mode. The identical *l*-mode power distribution is also observed in the field decompositions at each camera plane, as one expects from conservation of angular momentum.

That the radiation contains OAM was further confirmed experimentally from the diffraction pattern generated as the radiation passes through a 200 μ m wide vertical slit. Shown in Figure 4, the slit was centered on the intensity null, and the pattern observed by camera 2. The phase of an OAM mode on either horizontal side of the slit centered on the singularity is different by π , resulting in destructive interference along the axis and an intensity null in the observed diffraction pattern. If, on the other hand, the ringlike intensity were due to purely radial modes with no vortex (e.g., from detuning), the light would be in-phase on both sides of the slit and produce a constructive interference on-axis. By inspection of Figure 4C, no intensity is observed on axis, consistent with the presence of a vortex. This conclusion is supported by comparison of the measured diffraction pattern with the similar pattern calculated from the reconstructed fields (Figure 4B). For contrast, the pattern calculated with the phase vortex artificially removed (Figure 4D) displays the on-axis peak inconsistent with a vortex. We note further that the observed shearing of the upper and lower bright spots likewise indicates the presence of a vortex with transverse energy flow[28].

Conclusions

We expect that this approach can be readily extended to higher beam energies and shorter wavelength FELs, where the helical structure is more robust to transverse mixing and mode pollution[23]. Further, the seed laser could be provided by the FEL itself, as in the recently demonstrated self-seeded configuration for hard x-rays[29]. The concept may also be expanded to more advanced harmonic seeding schemes[30] where both the frequency and OAM mode number can be strongly up-converted to generate high-order OAM light at short wavelengths. Extrapolation to these regimes must also take into account the deleterious effects of intra-beam scattering on the helical structure, and is the subject of future studies.

- Spalding, G., Courtial, J. & Leonard, R. D. Structured Light and Its Applications: An Introduction to Phase-Structured Beams and Nanoscale Optical Forces (Academic Press, 2008), 1 edn.
- [2] He, H., Friese, M. E. J., Heckenberg, N. R. & Rubinsztein-Dunlop, H. Direct observation of transfer of angular momentum to absorptive particles from a laser beam with a phase singularity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 826–829 (1995).
- [3] Jesacher, A., Fürhapter, S., Bernet, S. & Ritsch-Marte, M. Shadow effects in spiral phase contrast microscopy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 94, 233902 (2005).
- [4] Jack, B. et al. Holographic ghost imaging and the violation of a bell inequality. Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 083602 (2009).
- [5] Tabosa, J. W. R. & Petrov, D. V. Optical pumping of orbital angular momentum of light in cold cesium atoms. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 83, 4967–4970 (1999).
- [6] Fickler, R. et al. Quantum entanglement of high angular momenta. Science 338, 640–643 (2012).

- [7] Wang, J. et al. Terabit free-space data transmission employing orbital angular momentum multiplexing. Nature Photonics 6, 488–496 (2012).
- [8] Allen, L., Beijersbergen, M. W., Spreeuw, R. J. C. & Woerdman, J. P. Orbital angular momentum of light and the transformation of laguerre-gaussian laser modes. *Phys. Rev. A* 45, 8185–8189 (1992).
- [9] Thole, B. T., Carra, P., Sette, F. & van der Laan, G. X-ray circular dichroism as a probe of orbital magnetization. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 68, 1943–1946 (1992).
- [10] van Veenendaal, M. & McNulty, I. Prediction of strong dichroism induced by x rays carrying orbital momentum. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 98, 157401 (2007).
- [11] Beijersbergen, M. W., Allen, L., Van der Veen, H. E. L. O. & Woerdman, J. P. Astigmatic laser mode converters and transfer of orbital angular momentum. *Optics Communications* 96, 123–132 (1993).
- [12] Heckenberg, N. R., McDuff, R., Smith, C. P., Rubinsztein-Dunlop, H. & Wegener, M. J. Laser beams with phase singularities. *Optical and Quantum Electronics* 24, S951–S962 (1992).
- [13] Cai, X. et al. Integrated compact optical vortex beam emitters. Science **338**, 363–366 (2012).
- [14] Beijersbergen, M. W., Coerwinkel, R. P. C., Kristensen, M. & Woerdman, J. P. Helical-wavefront laser beams produced with a spiral phaseplate. Optics Communications 112, 321 327 (1994).
- [15] Bazhenov, V., Vasnetsov, M. & Soskin, M. Laser-beams with screw dislocations in their wave-fronts. JETP Letters 52, 429–431 (1990).
- [16] Peele, A. G. et al. Observation of an x-ray vortex. Opt. Lett. 27, 1752–1754 (2002).
- [17] Takahashi, Y. et al. Bragg x-ray ptychography of a silicon crystal: Visualization of the dislocation strain field and the production of a vortex beam. Phys. Rev. B 87, 121201 (2013).
- [18] Jentschura, U. D. & Serbo, V. G. Generation of high-energy photons with large orbital angular momentum by compton backscattering. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 013001 (2011).
- [19] Sasaki, S. & McNulty, I. Proposal for generating brilliant x-ray beams carrying orbital angular momentum. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 124801 (2008).
- [20] McNeil, B. W. J. & Thompson, N. R. X-ray free-electron lasers. Nature Photonics 4, 814–821 (2010).
- [21] Hemsing, E. et al. Helical electron-beam microbunching by harmonic coupling in a helical undulator. Physical Review Letters 102, 174801 (2009).

- [22] Hemsing, E. et al. Experimental observation of helical microbunching of a relativistic electron beam. Applied Physics Letters 100, 091110 (2012).
- [23] Hemsing, E., Marinelli, A. & Rosenzweig, J. B. Generating optical orbital angular momentum in a high-gain free-electron laser at the first harmonic. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 164803 (2011).
- [24] Xiang, D. et al. Demonstration of the echo-enabled harmonic generation technique for shortwavelength seeded free electron lasers. Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 114801 (2010).
- [25] Xiang, D. et al. Evidence of high harmonics from echo-enabled harmonic generation for seeding x-ray free electron lasers. Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 024802 (2012).
- [26] Marinelli, A. et al. Single-shot coherent diffraction imaging of microbunched relativistic electron beams for free-electron laser applications. Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 094802 (2013).
- [27] Allen, L. & Oxley, M. Phase retrieval from series of images obtained by defocus variation. Optics Communications 199, 65 – 75 (2001).
- [28] Ghai, D. P., Senthilkumaran, P. & Sirohi, R. Single-slit diffraction of an optical beam with phase singularity. Optics and Lasers in Engineering 47, 123 – 126 (2009).
- [29] Amann, J. et al. Demonstration of self-seeding in a hard-x-ray free-electron laser. Nature Photonics 6, 693–698 (2012).
- [30] Hemsing, E. & Marinelli, A. Echo-enabled x-ray vortex generation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 109, 224801 (2012).

I. ADDENDUM

The authors would like to acknowledge funding supported by U.S. DOE under Contract Nos. DE-AC02-76SF00515 and DE-FG02-07ER46272.

II. AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

EH conceived the theoretical concept and designed the experimental technique. EH, AK and MD carried out the experiment. AK designed and built the helical undulator. AM contributed to the theoretical work and data analysis. DX, JBR and CH provided guidance on the experiment and on potential applications. All authors contributed to writing the paper.

III. COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

IV. CORRESPONDENCE

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to E. Hemsing (email: ehemsing@slac.stanford.edu).



FIG. 1: Illustration of the experiment (not to scale). The unmodulated relativistic electron beam interacts with a linearly polarized laser in a helical undulator, which gives the electrons an energy kick that depends on their position in the focused laser beam. The e-beam then traverses a longitudinally dispersive chicane that allows the electrons with higher energy to catch up to those with lower energy (momentum compaction). The result is a "helically microbunched" beam that then radiates light with OAM at the fundamental frequency in the planar undulator.



FIG. 2: Measured undulator radiation intensities (left) and reconstructed l = 1 OAM phases (right) from two cameras positioned to view the undulator radiation profiles at different planes. Figures A and B correspond to the intensity and phase at Camera 1, respectively, and C and D correspond to intensity and phase at Camera 2.



FIG. 3: Complex field profile calculated at the undulator exit. A and B depict the field obtained from the phase retrieval algorithm, propagated back to the undulator. D and E show the field expressed as a superposition of orthogonal radial p and azimuthal l modes with amplitudes $a_{p,l}$ given in figure F. The expansion accurately reproduces the OAM amplitude and phase in the relevant peak-intensity region. The total power in the OAM l modes is found by summing over p(C). The expansion parameter is $a=180 \ \mu m$.



FIG. 4: Diffraction pattern of OAM mode through a vertical slit. A: Vertical lines show the slit size and position centered on the phase singularity of the calculated OAM mode at the slit plane. B: The calculated radiation profile at camera 2 shows a null on axis characteristic of the helical phase. This closely matches the features observed in the experimentally measured pattern in C. In D, the diffraction pattern of the intensity in A with a flat phase front is shown for comparison with B and C.